ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

DDI Evaluation of Wang

DD/A Registry
83-0061

| FROM: A-D/ODP 2D00 Headquarters | EXTENSION | NO. STAT |
| | | DATE: 7 January 1983 |

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) EO/DDA	DATE RECEIVED	DATE FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1. A/DDA 7D18 Hqs		7 JAN 1983		DD/A REGISTRY FILE: 50-4
2. DDA	11 JAN 1983			Harry, _____ asked me to share this with you. A second report that is coordinated with our Engineering Division should be out next week presenting a more balanced view. _____ will have more for you next week on this subject. STAT
3.				A/DDA + DDA _____ will be discussing this with you on Monday. STAT
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

FORM 1-79 **610** USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

83-0061

23 December 1982

29 DEC 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Bruce T. Johnson

FROM: STAT

SUBJECT: DDI "Evaluation" of WANG

Bruce:
      I talked to [   ] yesterday, Wed Dec 22, about the   STAT
report. He told me the report had already gone out.

I told him that I considered it to be a very biased one
sided report. That I was very unhappy that no one in ED had
seen a copy in draft, and that no one had been asked to
verify the statements, several of which were wrong. [   ]   STAT
was at the meeting and agreed. He added that the DDI had
had 14 secretaries trained on the Wang, and that all
concluded that the Wang would not do the job for the DDI. He
said I had to understand that the DDI is different.
      I stated that I thought the study should be accurate
and should lay out both the costs or disadvantages of Wang
as well as the benefits of using the Wang. I said I had no
problem with DDI management making a valud judgement based
on accurate facts, but that I strongly objected to them
making a decision on a report such as was presented.
      [   ] ASG is planning another report due out 30 December. . Ed
[   ] said he would work with us on that report so that it   STAT
could correct the errors in the first report.
      Summary
      We have a problem.   STAT

Distribution:

  - addressee
  - [   ]   STAT